metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Poly[(μ_3 -*N*-acetyl-L-histidinato- $\kappa^4 N$,O:O:O')silver(I)]

Noriko C. Kasuga, Shinichiro Tsuruta, Akifumi Amano and Kenji Nomiya*

Department of Materials Science, Kanagawa University, Tsuchiya, Hiratsuka, Kanagawa 259-1293, Japan Correspondence e-mail: nomiya@kanagawa-u.ac.jp

Received 24 August 2007; accepted 24 August 2007

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 173 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.006 Å; R factor = 0.028; wR factor = 0.064; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.8.

The 1:2 molar ratio reaction of Ag₂O with N-acetyl-L-histidine (H₂achis) possessing the partial structure O=C-N-C-COOH, as well as imidazole units, afforded the light-stable and water-insoluble silver(I) title complex, $[Ag(C_8H_{10}N_3O_3)]_n$. This complex showed modest antimicrobial activity against selected bacteria and yeasts in a water-suspension system. X-ray crystallography revealed that the complex in the solid state forms a helical polymeric structure with an AgNO₃ core based on chelating Hachis⁻ (one carboxylate O atom and one imidazole N atom) and two O atoms of the other two Hachis⁻ ligands that can be described as ∞ {[Ag(Hachis)]}; this differs from the structure of the related silver(I) complex ∞ {[Ag(Hhis)]} (L-histidine = H₂his) based on an AgN₂ core. Polymer chains of the title complex form three-dimensional intermolecular hydrogen-bonding networks in the crystal structure.

Related literature

For related literature, see: Gimeno & Laguna (2004); Huang et al. (2006); Kasuga et al. (2006); Masciocchi et al. (1995); Nomiya et al. (1997, 2000).



Experimental

Crystal data $[Ag(C_8H_{10}N_3O_3)]$ $M_r = 304.06$

Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$ *a* = 5.039 (3) Å b = 13.656 (8) Å c = 14.043 (9) Å $V = 966.4 (10) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 4

Data collection

Rigaku Mercury diffractometer Absorption correction: numerical (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1999) $T_{\rm min} = 0.556, T_{\rm max} = 0.980$

Refinement

$$\begin{split} R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] &= 0.028\\ wR(F^2) &= 0.064\\ S &= 1.11\\ 1765 \text{ reflections}\\ 138 \text{ parameters}\\ \text{H-atom parameters not refined} \end{split}$$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 2.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 173 (2) K $0.32 \times 0.29 \times 0.01 \text{ mm}$

9224 measured reflections 1765 independent reflections 1715 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.039$

 $\begin{array}{l} \Delta \rho_{max} = 0.80 \ e \ \mathring{A}^{-3} \\ \Delta \rho_{min} = -0.65 \ e \ \mathring{A}^{-3} \\ \mbox{Absolute structure: Flack (1983),} \\ \ with \ 707 \ Friedel \ pairs \\ \ Flack \ parameter: \ -0.03 \ (5) \end{array}$

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N2 - H2N \cdot \cdot \cdot O1^{i}$	0.88	2.02	2.834 (5)	153
Symmetry code: (i) -	$x + \frac{1}{2}, -v, z - \frac{1}{2}$			

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku, 2000); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR97* (Altomare *et al.*, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999).

This work was supported by a High-tech Research Center Project from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HG2285).

References

- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G. L., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Moliterni, A. G. G., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 115–119.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Gimeno, M. C. & Laguna, A. (2004). Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry II, Vol. 6, edited by J. A. McCleverty & T. J. Meyer, pp. 911–991. Oxford: Elsevier.
- Higashi, T. (1999). ABSCOR. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Huang, X.-C., Zhang, J.-P. & Chen, X.-M. (2006). Cryst. Growth Des. 6, 1194– 1198.
- Kasuga, N. C., Yamamoto, R., Hara, A., Amano, A. & Nomiya, K. (2006). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **359**, 4412–4416.
- Masciocchi, N., Moret, M., Cairati, P., Sironi, A., Ardizzoia, G. A. & La Monica, G. (1995). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1671–1675.
- Nomiya, K., Takahashi, S., Noguchi, R., Nemoto, S., Takayama, T. & Oda, M. (2000). *Inorg. Chem.* **39**, 3301–3311.
- Nomiya, K., Tsuda, K., Sudoh, T. & Oda, M. (1997). J. Inorg. Biochem. 68, 39– 44.
- Rigaku (2000). CrystalClear. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Acta Cryst. (2007). E63, m2440 [doi:10.1107/S1600536807041724]

Poly[(#3-N-acetyl-L-histidinato- $\kappa^4 N, O:O:O'$)silver(I)]

N. C. Kasuga, S. Tsuruta, A. Amano and K. Nomiya

Comment

Although Ag—N and Ag—O bonding complexes are potential bioinorganic materials such as antimicrobial activities (Gimeno *et al.*, 2004.), light-sensitivity and poor solubility made them harder to be handled. Their crystallization was not easy. Silver imidazolate ∞ {[Ag(im)]} (Him=imidazole), which showed strongly effective and a wide spectrum of antimicrobial activities but insoluble in most solvents (Nomiya *et al.*, 1997.), was structurally characterized by powder X-ray diffraction (Masciocchi *et al.*, 1995.) and its single crystals were prepared by hydrothermal method only in 2006 (Huang *et al.*, 2006.). Recently, we noticed that reactions of Ag₂O with ligands having an OOC-C—X (X=N or O)—C=O moiety (such as 2-pyrrolidone-5-carboxylic acid, 5-oxo-2-tetrahydrofurancarboxylic acid, camphanic acid, and *N*-acetylglycine) afforded water-soluble and relatively light-stable (*i.e.* stable for a few hours to days at ambient temperature) Ag—O bonding complexes (Kasuga *et al.*, 2006, and references therein). Herein, we report crystal structure of the (*N*-acetyl-*L*-histidinato)silver(I) (complex 1), whose ligand containing both the OOC-C—N—C=O moiety and imidazole ring (Fig. 1).

Reaction of *N*-acetyl-*L*-histidine (H₂achis) and Ag₂O at ambient temperature in water followed by the vapor-diffusion crystallization gave water-insoluble complex 1, which showed modest antimicrobial activities against *E. coli, B. subtilis, P. aeruginosa, C. albicans* and *S. cerevisiae* in water-suspension system.

The closely related complex, water-insoluble ∞ {[Ag(Hhis)]} (H₂his=*L*-histidine), was a helical polymer consisting of a bent 2-coordinate silver(I) atom linking with the N_{amino} atom in one Hhis⁻ ligand and the N π atom of imidazole in a different Hhis⁻ ligand (Nomiya *et al.*, 2000). In complex 1, N π atom also coordinated to Ag1, but N_{amido} atom did not. Instead, an oxygen atom (O2) of the carboxylate group and two oxygen atoms (O1ⁱ and O2ⁱⁱ) in different Hachis⁻ ligands coordinated to Ag1 (symmetry codes: (i) x + 1/2, -y + 1/2, -z + 2; (ii) x - 1/2, -y + 1/2, -z + 2). Therefore, the geometry around Ag1 was disordered 4-coordinate with AgN₁O₃ core (Fig. 2). The O2 in complex 1 was bridged by two silver(I) atoms (Ag1 and Ag1ⁱ). The six-membered ring formed by Ag1, O2ⁱⁱ, Ag1ⁱⁱ, O1, C1 and O2 was connected through silver(I) and O2 atoms to form ladder polymer chain of ∞ {[Ag(Hachis)]} across the *a* axis. The distance and angle of N2—H2N···O1ⁱⁱⁱ indicated that hydrogen bond was formed between carboxylate and imidazole ring (symmetry code: (iii) -x + 1/2, -y, z - 1/2). For one pitch of the chain, four hydrogen bonds were observed as shown in dashed lines in Fig. 3.

In summary, ∞ {[Ag(Hachis)]} is a ladder polymer, which forms three-dimensional network of hydrogen bonds between the ladders chains.

Experimental

To a suspension of 116 mg (0.5 mmol) of Ag_2O in 20 ml of water was added 198 mg of H_2 achis (0.5 mmol), followed by stirring for 1 h at room temperature. The black suspension changed to a clear, colorless solution and the solution was filtered through a folded filter paper (Whatman #5). Vapor diffusion was performed at room temperature by using the

colorless filtrate as the inner solution and acetone as the external solvent. The colorless platelet crystals that were formed were collected on a membrane filter (JG 0.2 mm) and they were washed with acetone and ether (50 ml each) (0.1375 g of ∞ {[Ag(Hachis)]} (complex 1), 90.4% yield).

Complex 1 is insoluble in H₂O and other organic solvents (MeOH, DMSO, acetone, EtOH, CH₃CN, CH₂Cl₂, CHCl₃, ether, and EtOAc). The color of the crystals did not change for at least two weeks. Complex 1 was characterized by EA, IR, and TG/DTA.

Prominent IR bands at 1800–400 cm⁻¹ (KBr) 1629vs, 1590vs, 1438w, 1399*m*, 1297w, 1105w, 1087w, 939w, 692 s, 663 s, 622 s, 552 s. No weight loss before decomposition (*ca* 226 °C). Anal. Calcd. for $C_8H_{10}N_3O_3Ag$. C 31.60, H 3.32, N 13.82. Found (Perkin Elmer PE2400 series II CHNS/O Analyzer) C 31.59, H 2.79, N 13.77.

Complex 1 showed modest activity against Gram-negative bacteria (minimum inhibitory concentration (=MIC) for *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* was both 250 μ g m L^{-1}), Gram-positive bacterium (MIC for *B. subtilis* was 500 μ g m L^{-1}) and yeasts (MIC for *C. albicans* and *S. cerevisiae* was both 125 μ g m L^{-1}).

Refinement

The H atoms were placed at geometrically calculated positions and refined using riding and rotating models.

Figures



Fig. 1. Chemical structures of H₂achis and the related ligands.



Fig. 2. *ORTEP-3* drawing (Farrugia, 1997) of complex 1 with 50% probability thermal ellipsoids.



Fig. 3. Hydrogen bonding network of complex 1 viewed along the *a* axis. Symmetry codes: (i) x + 1/2, -y + 1/2, -z + 2, (ii) x - 1/2, -y + 1/2, -z + 2 and (iii) -x + 1/2, -y, z - 1/2.

$Poly[(\mu_3\text{-}N\text{-}acetyl\text{-}L\text{-}histidinato\text{-}\kappa^4N,O\text{:}O\text{:}O\text{'})silver(I)]$

Crystal data

$[Ag(C_8H_{10}N_3O_3)]$	$F_{000} = 600$
$M_r = 304.06$	$D_{\rm x} = 2.090 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$
Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: P 2ac 2ab	Cell parameters from 86 reflections
a = 5.039 (3) Å	$\theta = 3.3 - 25.4^{\circ}$
<i>b</i> = 13.656 (8) Å	$\mu = 2.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 14.043 (9) Å	T = 173 (2) K
$V = 966.4 (10) \text{ Å}^3$	Prism, colorless
Z = 4	$0.32 \times 0.29 \times 0.01 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Rigaku Mercury diffractometer	1765 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1715 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.039$
Detector resolution: 14.892 pixels mm ⁻¹	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.4^{\circ}$
T = 173(2) K	$\theta_{\min} = 3.3^{\circ}$
dtcell.ref scans	$h = -6 \rightarrow 6$
Absorption correction: Numerical (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1999)	$k = -16 \rightarrow 15$
$T_{\min} = 0.556, T_{\max} = 0.980$	$l = -16 \rightarrow 15$
9224 measured reflections	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$ Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters not refined $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0275P)^2 + 0.9828P]$

	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.064$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
<i>S</i> = 1.11	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.80 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
1765 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.65 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
138 parameters	Extinction correction: none
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct	Absolute structure: Flack (1983), with 0000 Friedel
methods	pairs
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map	Flack parameter: -0.03 (5)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
C1	0.5974 (8)	0.1081 (3)	1.0856 (3)	0.0156 (9)
C2	0.3599 (10)	0.0856 (3)	0.7963 (3)	0.0246 (10)
H2	0.2450	0.1308	0.7656	0.030*
C3	0.6681 (9)	0.0232 (3)	0.8858 (3)	0.0186 (8)
C4	0.5516 (9)	-0.0520 (3)	0.8383 (3)	0.0230 (10)
H4	0.5955	-0.1194	0.8433	0.028*
C5	0.8765 (8)	0.0227 (3)	0.9608 (3)	0.0194 (9)
H5A	0.9866	0.0823	0.9538	0.023*
H5B	0.9933	-0.0347	0.9506	0.023*
C6	0.7665 (8)	0.0188 (3)	1.0637 (3)	0.0171 (9)
Н6	0.9228	0.0211	1.1076	0.021*
C7	0.7649 (8)	-0.1554 (3)	1.1000 (3)	0.0237 (9)
C8	0.6020 (9)	-0.2448 (3)	1.1236 (3)	0.0294 (10)
H8A	0.4149	-0.2318	1.1094	0.044*
H8B	0.6215	-0.2603	1.1914	0.044*
H8C	0.6638	-0.3003	1.0853	0.044*
Ag1	0.66858 (6)	0.25670 (2)	0.89688 (2)	0.02431 (11)
N1	0.6274 (7)	-0.0723 (2)	1.0830 (2)	0.0186 (7)
H1N	0.4528	-0.0734	1.0835	0.022*
N2	0.3583 (8)	-0.0117 (3)	0.7818 (3)	0.0264 (8)
H2N	0.2522	-0.0438	0.7430	0.032*
N3	0.5423 (8)	0.1095 (3)	0.8590 (3)	0.0217 (8)
01	0.3590 (6)	0.09409 (18)	1.1138 (2)	0.0205 (6)
O2	0.6944 (6)	0.1908 (2)	1.0741 (2)	0.0239 (7)

03	1.0065 (6)	-0.1576 (2)) 1.0	0979 (2)	0.0282 (7)	
Atomic displace	ment parameters	$(Å^2)$				
	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.018 (2)	0.0183 (19)	0.011 (2)	0.0011 (16)	-0.0047 (16) 0.0001 (15)
C2	0.026 (2)	0.027 (2)	0.021 (2)	-0.006(2)	-0.006(2)	0.0099 (18)
C3	0.021 (2)	0.0176 (18)	0.017 (2)	0.0004 (17)	0.001 (2)	-0.0021 (16)
C4	0.027 (3)	0.020 (2)	0.022 (2)	-0.0045 (19	0.0021(18)	-0.0050 (17)
C5	0.015 (2)	0.019 (2)	0.024 (2)	0.0019 (17)	0.0018 (18)	-0.0012 (17)
C6	0.019 (2)	0.016 (2)	0.017 (2)	0.0004 (16)	0.0004 (16)	0.0004 (16)
C7	0.026 (2)	0.020 (2)	0.025 (2)	0.0069 (16)	-0.001 (2)	-0.002 (2)
C8	0.031 (2)	0.016 (2)	0.041 (3)	0.0042 (19)	-0.0045 (18)) 0.002 (2)
Ag1	0.02532 (17)	0.01610 (16)	0.03151 (18) -0.00361 (1	-0.00139 (14	4) 0.00172 (14)
N1	0.0122 (17)	0.0166 (16)	0.027 (2)	0.0041 (14)	-0.0002 (15)) 0.0010 (14)
N2	0.026 (2)	0.0271 (19)	0.026 (2)	-0.0082 (19	<i>–</i> 0.0051 (18)) -0.0035 (15)
N3	0.024 (2)	0.0180 (18)	0.0229 (18)	-0.0042 (16	6) -0.0052 (16)) 0.0009 (15)
01	0.0163 (15)	0.0152 (13)	0.0301 (17)	0.0006 (11)	0.0041 (15)	0.0047 (12)
O2	0.0212 (15)	0.0167 (14)	0.0337 (17)	-0.0049 (13	3) 0.0023 (14)	0.0009 (12)
O3	0.0208 (16)	0.0263 (16)	0.0376 (18)	0.0061 (13)	-0.0010 (17)) -0.0043 (16)
Geometric para	meters (Å, °)					
C1—O2		1.241 (5)	Ce	—N1	1	.454 (5)
C1—01		1.279 (5)	Ce	—Н6	1	.0000
C1—C6		1.519 (6)	C7	<u> </u>	1	.218 (5)
C2—N3		1.313 (6)	C7	—N1	1	.350 (5)
C2—N2		1.345 (5)	C7	—С8	1	.508 (6)
С2—Н2		0.9500	C8	H8A	0).9800
C3—C4		1.358 (6)	C8	—H8B	0).9800
C3—N3		1.390 (5)	C8	H8C	0).9800
C3—C5		1.488 (6)	Ag	g1—N3	2	2.174 (4)
C4—N2		1.371 (6)	Ag	g1—O1 ⁱ	2	2.257 (3)
C4—H4		0.9500	Ag	g1—O2 ⁱⁱ	2	2.528 (3)
C5—C6		1.548 (6)	Ag	g1—O2	2	2.649 (3)
С5—Н5А		0.9900	NI	—H1N	C).8800
С5—Н5В		0.9900	N2	2—H2N	0	1.8800
02—C1—O1		123.1 (4)	NI		1	16.1 (4)
O2—C1—C6		118.9 (4)	C7	—С8—Н8А	1	.09.5
OI - CI - C6		118.0 (3)	C7	—С8—Н8В	1	.09.5
$N_3 - C_2 - N_2$		110.6 (4)	H	SA-C8-H8B	1	.09.5
N3—C2—H2		124.7	C/	-C8 $H8C$	1	.09.5
$N_2 - C_2 - H_2$		124.7	H8	$A - C = H \delta C$	1	.09.5
C4 - C3 - N3		108.1(4) 130.5(4)		$B = C \delta = H \delta C$	1	.09.5
N3_C3_C5		121.2 (3)	IN:	-Ag1 - O1	l c	1 43 (13)
$C_3 - C_4 - N_2$		121.2(3) 106.8(4)	N:	$-Ag_1 - O_2$	2 C	(13)
$C_{3} C_{4} H_{4}$		126.6	UI NC	$-Ag_1 - 02$	2 C	86.01 (12)
С3—С4—П4		120.0	IN:	$-Ag_1-02$	8	0.01 (12)

N2-C4-H4	126.6	Ol ⁱ —Ag1—O2	110.37 (10)
C3—C5—C6	114.1 (3)	O2 ⁱⁱ —Ag1—O2	89.49 (9)
С3—С5—Н5А	108.7	C7—N1—C6	120.3 (4)
С6—С5—Н5А	108.7	C7—N1—H1N	119.9
C3—C5—H5B	108.7	C6—N1—H1N	119.9
С6—С5—Н5В	108.7	C2—N2—C4	107.7 (4)
H5A—C5—H5B	107.6	C2—N2—H2N	126.2
N1—C6—C1	112.3 (3)	C4—N2—H2N	126.2
N1—C6—C5	112.0 (3)	C2—N3—C3	106.8 (3)
C1—C6—C5	111.2 (3)	C2—N3—Ag1	126.8 (3)
N1—C6—H6	107.0	C3—N3—Ag1	125.7 (3)
С1—С6—Н6	107.0	C1—O1—Ag1 ⁱⁱ	104.1 (2)
С5—С6—Н6	107.0	C1—O2—Ag1 ⁱ	127.5 (3)
O3—C7—N1	122.0 (4)	C1—O2—Ag1	114.4 (2)
O3—C7—C8	121.9 (4)	Ag1 ⁱ —O2—Ag1	95.84 (10)

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*+1/2, -*y*+1/2, -*z*+2; (ii) *x*-1/2, -*y*+1/2, -*z*+2.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A
N2—H2N···O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.88	2.02	2.834 (5)	153
Symmetry codes: (iii) $-x+1/2, -y, z-1/2$.				





Fig 1. K. Nomiya et al





Fig. 2 K. Nomiya et al



Fig. 3 K. Nomiya et al

Fig. 3